

9A4 3739  
#5  
DE  
PATENT 8-23-02

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office at Fax No. 703.308.0758, attention:

Attorney Docket No.: A-14

Assistant Commissioner for Patents,  
Washington, D.C. 20231

On Aug 6, 2002  
By Katie Zarzana  
Katie Zarzana

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

PHILIP E. EGGERS et al.

Application No.: 09/709,035

Filed: November 8, 2000

For: **SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR TISSUE  
ABLATION AND RESECTION**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Examiner: L. Cohen

Art Unit: 3739

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO  
RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Sir:

This is in response to the communication from the Examiner mailed July 8, 2002 for which a response is due August 8, 2002. Accordingly, this response is timely filed:

REMARKS

Applicant's previous response, filed May 2, 2002, elected Invention I (Claims 1-70 and 75-85), without traverse. Applicant further elected the species relating to the embodiment disclosed in Fig. 42 corresponding to claims 1-5, 8-10, 16-19, 22-24, 26-38, 40, 41-70, and 75-81

The Communication from the Examiner, mailed July 8, 2002, asserted that Fig. 42 did not appear to read on claim 1, 4, 5, 9, 10, 18, 28-31, 42, 49, 51, 52-59, 66, 67, 75 and requested clarification.

Applicant wishes to further withdraw from examination, claims 4, 5, 28-31, 49, 66, and 67. The undersigned apologizes for any confusion.

Regarding the remainder of the claims pertaining to mechanical resection, applicant notes that Fig. 42 generically shows a 'resection unit' 1406. As discussed throughout the application, each resection unit includes at least one resection electrode. As also discussed through the



RECEIVED  
AUG 15 2002  
TO 3600 MAIL ROOM